COURTS IN NAVAJO COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2014-2016 SUMMARY

LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Continue to enhance Web-based information provided to public about court services, processes, and forms.
- Work with AOC to restore reporting and collections functionality lost in AJACS transition.
- Participate in statewide initiatives for APETS integration, JOLTS integration, and LJ CMS data conversion / replacement.
- Construct necessary statistical and case management reports for Superior Court.
- Participate in county's integrated phone system rollout.
- Expand videoconferencing to Juvenile Detention and more appearance types in justice courts.
- Improve credit/debit card processing associated with collection of fines, fees, etc.

CY 2011/12 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Participated in design of statistical and case management reports in AJACS.
- Made court calendars available via the Web.
- Digitized 70,000 historical documents, increasing their availability for access.
- Expanded video-based court appearances in justice courts to include arraignment and sentencing, when allowed.
- Upgraded Superior Court OnBase system to Version 11 (successfully skipping 9.2) and implemented Unity.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS	Prefer single system or simultaneous cutover for all courts in county; some functionality concerns exist; will be mid-cycle adopter.
JOLTSaz/SWID	Stakeholder buy-in is critical; desire funding for local implementation efforts; will be a late adopter.
LJ EDMS	Interested in disconnected scanning, but not as a pioneer county; will be mid-cycle adopters.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Interested in e-filing, but not as a pioneer; will be mid-cycle adopters.
Bench Automation	Buy-in from the bench is key; will be mid-cycle adopters.
Architecture	Not developing apps locally; caseflow and collections data residing in MS-Access is not "production."

Project	Year/Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full[1]	Skeletal[2]	Mention[3]	
Improve Credit/Debit Card Processing	FY13		X		All courts
Improve Telephone Systems	FY14			X	Snowflake & Pinetop-Lakeside JP only
Video for Juvenile Probation	FY14		X		Connect court, JPO, detention
Restore FARE Functionality in AJACS	FY14		X		Superior Court
Statistical and Other Reports in AJACS	FY14		X		Superior Court, AJACS
Website for Justice Courts	FY13		X		All justice courts
Infrastructure for Integrated Phone System	FY14			X	All courts and County IT
LJ CMS Conversions	FY15		X		All justice and muni courts

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

Note 1:
An "X" in "Full" indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology's Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:
An "X" in "Skeletal" indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:
An "X" in "Mention" indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.